J. mar. biol. Ass. India, 1974, 16 (3): 793-806

THE HISTORY OF MARINE HERPETOLOGY OF THE INDIAN AND PACIFIC OCEANS*

P. E. P. DERANIYAGALA

26, Guildford Crescent, Colombo 7, Sri Lanka

ABSTRACT

The history of work on marine herpetology of the Indian and Pacific Oceans is recorded in a chronological order and taxa-wise.

REPTILES have evoked human interest from the earliest ages primarily as inducers of death secondarily as a source of food, ornament, leather and shelter. The placating of some species of lethal reptiles yet persists as various ceremonies in different parts of the world as at Ponape (Hambruch, 1936).

The ancient and medieval sailors had found turtles and land tortoises to be a valuable source of fresh meat, and brought back to their homes parts of reptiles or the entire animals preserved in rum and labelled with their local names. The bulk of these collections found their way into museums owned by the state or by royalty and nobility, where they were worked out and monographs published. In some instances the collectors themselves published such monographs.

In India the Moghul emperors employed painters of animals, but reptiles did not figure prominently in their work. The countries that published the most important herpetological monographs during the 17th, 18th and 19th centuries were Sweden, Holland, England, France, Germany and Italy and their work and collections provided the foundation for modern herpetology.

Arab and Persian traders had acquainted the ancients of Europe with the existence of various reptiles in the Indian Ocean, later the Greek Magasthanes commented upon the size and abundance of the turtles there and Strabo the Roman in 300 B.C. mentions the tortoise shell exported from Ceylon. They also commented upon the sea snakes.

When large tracts of Asia were conquered by various western races who left their comparatively poor native lands in quest of more profitable ones, several of the better educated officials whom they stationed in their colonies, made private collections and at times employed local artists to make paintings of the plants and animals. Some of these collectors also conducted experiments upon the potency of venom.

The Portuguese writers also made reference to the herpetology of India and Ceylon Joao Ribeiro (1640-1758) being one of them. A German Johann Jacob Sarr (1647-1657), and two Dutchmen Philippus Baldeus (1658-1671) and Jean Gideon Loten (1752-1757) were others. Marcel Bles (1773) who resided in Ceylon for 12 years sent to Buffon in Paris considerable material and Pierre Sonnerat who visited Ceylon, India, Malaya and China after leaving France in 1774, and Leschenault de la Tour who collected in Ceylon and India in about 1817 presented their collections to the Museum National d'Histoire Naturelle in Paris. C. J. Temminck a Dutchman collected for the Leyden Museum about this time as did his countryman P. Bleeker who had concerned himself mainly with the Malayan region but had also visited and collected in Ceylon and India towards 1880.

Linnes pupils who were sent out in the vessels of the Swedish East India Company secured collections for Sweden and the Dutch, British and French. East India Companies also made extensive collections for their respective countries. Other collections were made by travellers such as Cook in the 'Endeavour' in 1770 and by ships such as the 'Bonito' 1837, the 'Novara' 1859, the 'Siboga' (1908), the 'Skeat Expedition' (1900), and the 'Dana' (1930), and the Albatross (1920) that were sent out solely for oceanographic research.

The establishment of Museums in various countries bordering the Indian and Pacific Oceans resulted in more intensive collecting but lack of literature and type specimens forced their officials to despatch their collections to Euopre for identification. With the removal of these two impediments the officers of these museums commenced working out their collections themselves, which frequently resulted in one and the same animal being described under different and new names in various countries.

The most important of such early Museums were the Indian Museum Calcutta, the Madras Government Museum, the Colombo Museum, Raffies Museum at Singapore, the Imperial Museum at Tokyo, the Bishop Bernice Museum, Honolulu, and the Melbourne Museum, Australia. Other institutions such as the Bombay Natural History Society, the Asiatic Society of Bengal, various branches of the Royal Asiatic Society, the Philippine Institute of Science, and the Scientific Institute at Buitenzorg in Java rendered valuable service to herpetology.

Since the literature pertaining to the reptiles of the Indian and Pacific Oceans is so extensive that a detailed history of the herpetology of these areas will entail many years of work by a considerable body of workers. It is here proposed to deal with this subject in as concise a manner as possible. The author's name and date of publication are given in the text, the full title of his work is listed later in the Bibliography.

The early descriptions of reptiles were so inadequate that generally it was impossible to identify the animal dealt with and the first identifiable descriptions occur in Linne's tenth edition of his *Systema Naturae* published in 1758. In this and in later editions of it he refers to illustrations and quotes descriptions published by earlier authors and his example was followed by subsequent workers.

Prominent among these early works is the Dutchman Seba's *Thesaurus* Animalium (1734), which contains numerous illustrations. Other early works were by Fryer 1680, Pennant 1769, Peter Brown 1776, Forster 1781, Russell 1796, Schneider 1783-1799, Lacépède 1788 and 1845, Schoepff 1792, Latreille 1802, Shaw 1802, Daudin 1803, Cuvier 1829, Fitzinger 1835, Gray 1835, Kelaart 1852, Tennent 1854, Dumeril and Bibron 1854, Girard 1858, Wood 1864. Two workers who published important books on Indian herpetology were A. Gunther a German, 1864, and W. Theobald 1876, but it was the Belgian G. A. Boulenger the herpetologist at the British Museum who set the course for modern herpetology, when he published his first major work in 1890. This was entitled ' the Fauna of British India including Burma and Ceylon (Reptilia and Batrachia)', and followed it up in 1912 with 'A Vertebrate Fauna of the Malay Peninsula from the Isthmus of Kra to Singapore, including the adjacent Islands'.

The safeguarding of sea turtles is a cogent problem and the International Union of Conservation of Nature and Natural Resources, at Morges, Switzerland is now publishing in the revised edition of its *Red Data Book* vol. III Amphibia and Reptilia its intention of acting upon the present writer's proposal published in '*Loris*' vol. X, No. 4 of December 1965 entitled 'A Sanctuary for Turtles, Dugong, Whales and Dolphins in the Indian and Southern Oceans.'

The International appeal drafted by the present writer and signed by Professors Mertens and Wermuth of Germany and Meddem of Bogota to protect the Crocodylia has met with a fair response but further action is still necessary.

Other outstanding herpetologists of the twentieth century who worked on the Indian and Pacific Oceans reptiles are :---

F. Angel 1920-1933.
N. Annandale 1904-1921.
T. Barbour 1908-1929.
W. T. Blanford 1900.
O. Boettger 1894-1901.
G. A. Boulenger 1890-1920.
R. Bourret 1927-1935.
L. Brongersma 1928-1933.
P. Chabanaud 1919-1923.
N. G. Gee 1919-1930.
A. Gunther 1905.
Horikawa 1930.
J. Kinghorn 1928.
F. Laidlaw 1901.
R. Mell 1922-1931.

R. Mertens 1922-1934.
F. Mocquard 1897-1910.
F. Muller 1895-1923.
C. Pope 1924-1935.
N. de Rooij 1915-1922.
J. Roux 1904-1928.
K. P. Schmidt 1925-1948.
M. A. Smith 1914-1945.
L. Stejneger 1898-1933.
E. H. Taylor 1919-1954.
J. van Denburgh 1909-1923.
T. Vogt 1911-1932.
F. Wall 1897-1930.
H. Wall 1911-1928.
F. Werner 1896-1928.

The Reptilia of the Indian and Pacific Oceans exist as three orders which are classified as follows :---

CLASS REPTILIA

(a) subclass Anapsida—order Testudinata turtles.

(b) subclass Diapsida—crocodiles, lizards, snakes.

1. superorder Archosauria

order Crocodylia-crocodiles

2. superorder Lepidosauria

order Squamata—lizards and snakes suborder Serpentoidea—snakes

SUBCLASS ANAPSIDA

Order Testudinata

Fossil Species

The fossil marine turtles of the Indian Ocean are barely known. Fragments of a Miocene turtle had been recorded from Ceylon but were left unnamed (Deraniyagala, 1936). Subsequently better preserved marginals of another turtle were assigned to a new genus and species and named *Miocaretta lankae*.

Each marginal comprises three osseous elements that are fused longitudinally, and there are two pits for the rib tips. The posterior marginals are fused to each other, end to end to form a peripheral ring and costoperipheral fontanelles were present. It is not improbable that the ribs lacked the broad bony plates of living species. 'The animal was as large as the living *Caretta caretta gigas*, but its marginals were thicker and heavier (Deraniyagala, 1961 *a*)'.

There are eight living species of sea turtles and of these seven occur in the Indian and Pacific Oceans. The following list gives the names generally applied to them.

1. A single Athecan termed the luth or leathery turtle Dermochelys coriacea (Linné).

The luth or leathery turtle possesses only a dorsal masaic of numerous small bony platelets, each as large as the turtles eye, which are embedded in a shield of thick skin to form the carapace. In 1766 Linné had named this turtle *Testudo coriacea* in the 12th edition of his *Systema Naturae* (1766) and in 1816 de Blainville placed it in a special monotypic genus *Dermochelys*. Other generic names assigned to it were *Sphargis* by Merrem in 1820, *Coriudo* Fleming 1822, *Dermatochelys* Wagler 1830 and *Chelyra* Rafinesque 1832. Numerous specific names were also given to it, e.g., *Testudo arcuata* Catesby 1771, *Testudo lyra* Lacépède 1788, *T. tuberculata* Pennant 1801, *Sphargis mercurialis* Merrem 1820, *Dermatochelys porcata* Wagler 1830, *Sphargis coriacea* variety *schlegelii* Garman 1884 and *Sphargis angusta* Philippi 1899. Even today there are herpotologists who recognize two subspecies and restrict *D.c. schlegelii* Garman to the Indian and Pacific Oceans. Examination of newly hatched young and adults from the Indian, Pacific and Atlantic oceans however reveals that there is only a single monotypic genus.

2. There are seven recent marine Thecophoran turtles in the Indian area. In those the carapace consists mainly of large bony costal plates, each almost as large as a hind flipper. They are fused to the skeleton. Although the seven turtles are now listed under the names that are usually applied to them, drastic revisions of their taxonomy and nomenclature are necessary, as will be seen upon further perusal.

Names of sea turtles that are valid for scientific purposes date back to the 10th edition of Linné's Systema Naturae published in 1758, where in the luth is named *Testudo coriacea*, the green turtle is T. mydas, and a composite name T. caretta includes the hawksbill and the loggerheads. In 1766 Linné conferred the separate name of *Testudo imbricata* upon the hawksbill.

To judge from the collection in Linné's home at Uppsala which contains several sea turtles that have been wrongly identified, he too was by no means certain of his identifications. His *imbricata* is a young green turtle, and an adult carapace which he had assigned to the latter species is actually one of a brown loggerhead (Deraniyagala, 1961*a*).

Rafinesqué's generic name Caretta had been applied indiscriminately to each of the thecophoran turtles, by various herpetologists. For example the green turtle had been termed both Caretta cepedii and Caretta esculenta by Merrem in 1820, the hawksbill Caretta bissa by Rüppell in 1835 and in 1858 Girard termed it Caretta squamosa and C. rostrata. The green turtle has been termed 'green caret', the hawksbill the 'hawksbill caret' by various other medieval writers (Kelaart 1861, Wood, 1864).

The following excerpts translated from de Lacépède, 1845 in Hist. Nat. des Quadrupedes Oviapares, des Serpents. des Poissons et des Cetaces.

Vol. 1 indicate that five marine turtles were known in 1845.

He mentions :

- (1) La tortue Franche the green turtle.
- (2) La caouane

Some term it the *Caret*, but as this name has been applied for a long period by travellers, to the turtle that furnishes the beautiful scutes, we are reserving the name for the latter and here designate the other as the *caouane*.

- (3) La tortue nasicorne also termed the Tortue batarde by American fishermen.
- (4) Le Caret with beautiful scutes. On p. 22, pl. 1, he terms the hawksbill 'le Caret'.
- (5) Le Luth, the Greeks believe that the God Mercury constructed his lyre from its carapace. It inhabits the Mediterranean, the shores of Peru, Mexico, France and Cornwall.

Thus according to Lacépède :

- (1) The Franche is the Green turtle.
- (2) The Caret is the hawksbill.
- (3) The Caouane is the brown loggerhead.
- (4) The Nasicorne or Batarde turtle.
- (5) The Luth is the leathery turtle.

When Linné named the hawksbill in 1766, his old composite name which included the hawksbill, of *Testudo caretta*, was available for one of the three species of loggerheads, that were later discovered to inhabit the Atlantic.

It was in 1783 that Schneider named one of these Atlantic loggerheads Testudo cephalo, the type locality being South Carolina. This name is derived from the Greek 'cephale' = head and since the common brown logger-head possesses a conspicuously large head the name Cephalo was doubtless applied to this species. 10 In 1788 Lacépède in *Hist. Nat. Quad. Ovip. et Serp.* vol. I, described *T. nasicornis* from the equatorial seas off America and in his 1845 book he records that *T. nasicornis* is also known as *Tortue batarde* by the American fishermen. Garman and Baur also record this fact in their accounts of the grey loggerhead now generally known as Kemps ridley.

In 1792 Schoepff gave Linnés name of *Testudo caretta* and clearly described and illustrated the grey logger of the Gulf of Mexico (Deraniyagala, 1961). In 1814 Rafinesque employed Linnés specific name *Caretta* for the new genus he created for his new species *nasuta* as genotype. The latter however is merely a synonym for *cephalo*. In 1820 Merrem placed Lacépède's *nasicornis* in Rafinesque's new genus and its valid name is *Caretta nasicornis* (Lacépède, 1788) while *Caretta caretta* (Schoepff, 1792) is its synonym.

In 1788 Lacépède had described as a new species another American loggerhead. He employed its Carib Indian name and termed it *Testudo caouana*. To judge from his 1845 book this is the brown loggerhead. As it resembles the hawksbill; both had been popularly termed 'caret' a name which restricted to the hawksbill. The correct name for the brown logger should then the *Caretta cephalo* (Schneider, 1783), its synonyms being *T. caouana* Lacépède 1788 and *Caretta nasuta* Rafinesque 1814.

The loggerheads are the most variable and puzzling members of the sea turtles. At one time the generally accepted view was that there was only a single monotypic genus Thalassochelys Fitzinger, which possessed more than four pairs of costal scutes, and that the other generic names for such turtles were its synonyms. The normal number in all other testudinates is four pairs. Professor Hans Gadow of Cambridge based on his paper on Orthogonetic variation upon what he considered to be the monotypic genus Thalassochelys Fitzinger. His material comprized hatchlings collected at the New Hebrides (Trobriand Islands) by the Cambridge Balfour scholar Dr. Arthur Willey and specimens from various Museums. He maintained that the loggerhead hatchlings possessed permutations and combinations of 5 to 8 costal scutes upon the two sides of the carapace and that these were reduced to 5 pairs with age. In 1929 however the present writer revealed that Gadow's material comprized two distinct species namely the olive and brown loggerheads. (a) The former usually possess more than five pairs of costal scutes, the latter five pairs, and the number of scutes does not decrease with age in either turtle. Baur revived the genus Lepediochelys which Fitzinger created in 1843 for the olive back turtle from Manila bay which Eschscholtz had named Chelonia olivacea and he also placed it in the new subgenus (Colpochelys) which was created by Garman for the grey back loggerhead turtle from the Gulf of Mexico. Further discoveries have shown that the two are distinct sympatric species.

It is now suggested that to these, might be added, the grey back *Chelonia depressa* Garman 1880 from the seas off Queensland, Australia which although normally possessing only four pairs of costals resembles *L. olivacea* in colour, small scalation on the middle of the fore flipper pointed tip to the back and the depressed corselet with its subcircular outline. (b) The brown back which is termed *Caretta caretta* (Linnè) was the other species utilized by Gadow. It was originally described from the Western Atlantic and has been subdivided into two subspecies. The forma typica inhabits only the Western Atlantic. Its subspecies occurring in the Indian and Pacific Oceans is *Caretta caretta gigas* Deraniyagala, which usually possesses more neural bony plates and a considerable percentage of individuals in which they are interrupted posteriorly by the costal plates of the two opposite sides meeting one

798

another mid-dorsally. When the neurals are numerous they are often short and resemble those of *Lepidochelys*. The forma typica *Caretta caretta caretta* (Linné) possesses fewer neural bones which are all elongate and contiguous and the series often ends anteriorly to the mid-dorsal junction of the last pairs of costal bony plates (Deraniyagala, 1963).

Almost as puzzling is the hawksbill for which the genus *Eretmochelys* was created by Fitzinger in 1843 with genotype *Testudo imbricata* described by Linné in 1766 from the Western Atlantic. A synonym for it is *Onychochelys* Gray 1873. The Atlantic animal was termed *Chelonia pseudo-mydas* by Lessen in 1834 and a Red Sea specimen was named *Caretta bissa* by Ruppell in 1835. In 1858 Girard named one specimen *Caretta rostrata* and another *Caretta squamosa* while the name of *Eretmochelys squamata* was given by Agassiz in 1857. It was eventually thought that there were two subspecies *Eretmochelys imbricata imbricata* (Linné) for the Mediterrnean and Atlantic Oceans and *Eretmochelys imbricata bissa* (Ruppell) for the Indian and Pacific Oceans.

Turtles inhabiting comparatively sedentary water in bays and lagoons develop an encrustation of algal growth which collects a film of mud upon the carapace. This causes aetiolation and produces a relatively light coloured 'tortoise shell' which is not restricted to any one ocean. Apart from this the hawksbill also undergoes striking growth changes. As a hatchling its scutes are more or less juxtaposed, but become imbricata within six months and this condition reaches its maximum when the animal is $2\frac{1}{2}$ years old. When the turtle commences ageing the scutes revert to the hatchling condition and again become juxtaposed. Such specimens have been erroneously regarded as distinct species but examination of hatchlings, young and living and mounted specimens of various ages by the writer in Asia, Europe and America showed that there is only one monotypic form.

The green turtle, also termed the 'green caret' or 'Carey' is Chelonia mydas (Linné). The type species for Chelonia Latreille 1801 is Testudo mydas Linné 1958, from Ascension Island. Some of the other names conferred upon the green turtle were Testudo macropus Walbaum 1782 Chelonia cepidiana Daudin 1802 and Chelonia mydas Schweigger 1812. This turtle also was thought to exist as two subspecies. The forma typica of the Atlantic has been given various names such as Testudo viridis Schneider 1783, Chelonia virgata Schweigger 1812, Caretta esculenta Merrem 1820, Chelonia marmorata Dumeril et Bibron 1835.

The Indian and Pacific Oceans were thought to harbour a distinct subspecies for which Thunberg's name of *Testudo japonica* 1787 and Girard's two names of *Chelonia formosa* and *Chelonia tenuis* 1858 and Becourts name of *Chelonia agassizii* for a specimen from the Pacific off Guatamala were employed, but examination of specimens shows that there is only a single monotypic form.

The generally accepted names for the scute turtles are :---

- (a) The green turtle Chelonia mydas (Linné).
- (b) The Flat back Chelonia depressa Garman.
- (c) The Hawksbill Eretmochelys imbricata Linné.
- (d) The Brown loggerhead Caretta caretta gigas Deraniyagala.
- (e) The Atlantic Brown loggerhead Caretta caretta caretta Linné.

(f) The Olive loggerhead Lepidochelys olivacea (Eschscholtz).

(g) The Grey loggerhead Lepidochelys kempi (Garman) which is restricted to the Atlantic.

The first three thecophorans (a), (b) & (c) usually possess 4 pairs of horny costal scutes, the other four possess 5 or more pairs. The last six species possess bird-like upper beaks that are compressed bilaterally and slope forwards into a point. The median scales upon the fore flipper are smaller in (b) and (f), than in the others.

Turtle (a) possesses a blunt upper beak with a declivitous anterior margin and the skull has a median marginal premaxillary cleft instead of a point as in the other species. (b) and (f) possess small scales upon the middle of the dorsal aspect of the fore flippers.

In turtles (b), (f) and (g) the carapace is depressed and with a sub-circular margin; those of the others are deep. The marginal outline of (a) is elliptical that of (c), (d) and (e) is cordate. Six generally accepted generic characters after with age. They are as follows :—

(1) The hatchlings of (c), (d), (e), (f) and (g) are dark ventrally but become light with age whereas those of (a) and (b) are white.

(2) A certain number of individuals occur in (a), (b) and (c) with more than 4 pairs of costals consequently the number of costal scutes is unreliable as a distinguishing character.

(3) Scute imbrication which occurs as a temporary phase in all the species is only rudimentary in some whereas in (c) it is very pronounced and of longer duration, but in every species the scutes ultimately become juxtaposed with age.

(4) Grey dorsal adult colouration which occurs in (b) and (g) also occurs temporarily in (f) when it is adolscent, but this colour is replaced by olive green age.

(5) The number of claws is apt to alter with age from 1 to 2 and then back with again to 1 on each limb in different individuals in each genus.

(6) Inframarginal pores are apt to disappear with age.

The intergradation shown above of what are generally regarded as constant characters renders the recognition of four distinct genera untenable and the obvious solution is to assign all the marine thecophoran turtles to a single genus for which the earliest valid name is *Chelonia* Latreille 1802.

SUBCLASS DIAPSIDA

Order Crocodylia

The Marine or estuarine Crocodile

The next superorder of the Reptilia, is the Archosauria. Its order Crocodylia, possesses only a single species that can claim to any association with the sea. It is termed the marine, estuarine or marsh crocodile, but it is also exists as one or two subspecies that ascend rivers beyond tidal influence. The range of this species is the most extensive of any reptile that spends a part of each day on land and it is also the heaviest of all living reptiles.

800

In the Indian Ocean the animal ranges from Ceylon, northwards upto Cochin, the entire east coast of India and eastwards over all Southern Asia, the East Indies, Philippines, Palau Islands, New Guinea, Northern Australia, the new Hebrides and the Bismark archipelago in the Pacific. Its failure to range further northwards along the west coast of India and westwards in the Indian Ocean is one of the mysteries of herpetology. Generally speaking this species is an avid man eater. The correct name for this crocodile has yet to be determined.

In 1734 Seba figured an embryo from Ceylon and named it *Crocodilus* ceylonensis. It is fig. I in his plate 103, and has no postoccipital scutes and the dorsal scutes are rectangular. The artist's insertion of the edentulous angle of gape has been mistaken for the left postoccipital scute. The neck of the figure resembles that of continental specimens of the marine crocodile which frequently lack the post occipital scutes but in which the dorsal scutes are subovate. The figures dorsal scutes resemble those of the swamp crocodile *Crocodylus palustris* Lesson 1831 which always possesses four well developed postoccipital scutes and rectangular dorsal scutes. Seba's figure possesses the neck of the marine crocodile and the scutes of the swamp crocodile which renders it useless for nomenclatorial purposes.

In 1763 Gronov described another Ceylon crocodile and referred it to Seba's. *Crocodilus ceylonensis* but states that the dorsal scutes are ovate and that the lateral scales are very small and ovate. In view of this lack of postoccpitals in Seba's figure to which he has referred to and his written description that the scutes were subovate, the first valid name for the sea crocodile is *Crocodylus ceylonensis* Gronov 1763, type locality Ceylon. In 1795 Mayer name a Ceylon crocodile *Crocodylus natans* and based his description upon that by Gronov for *C. ceylonensis* adding however that the dorsal scutes are rectangular. This renders this description also useless.

In 1801 Schneider named as *Crocodylus porosus* a specimen of the sea crocodile and today the animal is generally known by this name. The holotype which is in the Berlin Museum possesses postoccipital scutes. Its type locality is given as 'India' and in those early days Ceylon was not infrequently included under 'India'. The holotype might be either the usual Ceylon form or the one that is unusual for India.

As shown above the correct name should be *Crocodylus ceylonensis* Gronov with Ceylon the type locality, but Dr. Heinz Wermuth of Berlin (1960) who has published a paper setting forth much of the above information considers that *C. natans* Mayer is the valid name and has suggested placing it in the official list of rejected names, utilizing Schneider's name *porosus* and restricting the type locality of *C. porosus* Schneider to the 'Mainland of Hither India'.

In 1844 Gray created the sub genus *Oopholis* with *Crocodylus porosus* Schneider 1801 as the genotype and in 1862 he elevated it to be a genus. Most of the characters that he utilized for this purpose are not distinctive. The fact that none of the ellipsoid dorsal osteoderms are contiguous, the small size of the lateral scales, the relatively large hind limbs as compared to the forelimbs and the more strongly webbed toes might however justify the recognition of this genus. The marine crocodile might be termed either *Oopholis ceylonensis* (Gronov) or *Oopholis porosus* (Schneider). If the former is accepted then the Indian subspecies should be termed *O. ceylonensis pondicherianus* (Gray) 1862, but its type locality is Akyab (Theobald, 1876).

If Gronov's name is treated similarly to that of Mayer then the next valid name for this species is *Crocodilus oopholis* Schneider 1801 which had no type locality. This has now been arbitrarily fixed as 'Hither India' by Wermuth (1960).

SUBORDER SERPENTOIDEA

The sea snakes form two categories. (a) Those that also occur in estuarine and fresh water and come ashore and (b) those that are more or less completely marine and never come ashore. All give birth to living young while in the water. They belong to two families each of which comprises two subfamilies. These are the :

Family Colubridae	(a) Subfamily Arcrochordinae.
	(b) Subfamily Homalopsinae.
Family Hydrophiidae	(a) Subfamily Laticaudinae?
	(b) Subfamily Hydrophiinae?

FAMILY COLUBRIDAE Cope, 1893

Members of the subfamilies (a) and (b) frequent coastal waters ; inhabit estuaries and ascend rivers up to their tidal limits.

The wart snakes subfamily Acrochordinae (Jan) were first described by Hornstedt in 1787 with *A. javanicus* Hornstedt as the type. In 1799 Schneider described *A. granulatus* from India and both were placed in a separate subfamily by Boulenger in 1890.

The first member of the subfamily Homalopsinae that was made known to science was when Russell figured the snake in 1796 that was described as *Hydrus* enhydris by Schneider in 1799 and assigned to the genus Enhydris Sonn. et Latreille in 1802. Other estuarine species of this genus were discovered and described as follows.

E. sieboldi (Schlegel) 1837, E. bennetti (Gray) 1842, E. chinensis (Gray) 1842, E. dussumieri (Dumeril et Bibron) 1854, E. jagorii (Peters) 1863, E. bocourti (Jan) 1865, E. innominata (Morice) 1875, E. maculosa (Blanford) 1879, E. smithi (Boulenger) 1914, E. longicauda (Bourret) 1934.

The other genera are (a) the monotypic one Homalopsis Kuhl and Hasselt 1822 with H. buccata figured by Russell in 1801 and described by Linné in 1754 and 1758, (b) The genus Cerberus Cuvier 1829 with type C. rhynchops (Schneider) 1799. This genus contains two other species microlopis of the Philippines and australis of Australia, (c) The Monotypic genus Gerarda Gray 1849 with the type G. prevostiana (Eydoux et Gervais) 1837, (d) The monotypic genus Fordonia Gray 1842 type F. leucobalia (Schlegel) 1837, (e) The monotypic genus Bitia Gray 1842 with the type B. hydroides Gray 1842, (f) genus Cantoria Girard 1857 with two species C. violacea Garard 1857 and C. annulata de Jong from New Guinea.

THE FAMILY HYDROPHIIDAE Boie, 1827

This family comprises the most specialized of the sea snakes and later authorities such as Bourret (1936) and others had regarded this family as a subfamily of the Family Colubridae or of the Family Elapidae and assigned them to two subfamilies namely the Laticaudinae and the Hydrophiinae. The discovery of the Australian genus *Ephalophis* Smith 1931 has since shown that there is no salient character by which the two are separable and Boie's view that there are no subfamilies in this Family is upheld. A comparison of the lists published by Kinghorn 1929, Pope 1935, Bourret 1941, M. A. Smith 1943 and others, reveals that the Indian subregion possess 12 genera comprising 29 species, the Malayan and Indo-Chinese area possesses 11 genera comprising 26 species. The Chinese area possesses 6 genera with 12 species and the Australian region possesses 11 genera with 20 species. The number of species declines both westwards and eastwards from India and the Malayan area, and only a single species *Pelamis platyura* ranges as far as the eastern coast of Africa and the Western one of America. It is also noticeable that Australia possesses two endemic genera and about ten endemic species.

This family contains all the true sea snakes with a compressed paddle-like tail which enables them to dash off at speed by keeping body rigid and flicking the paddle tail swiftly from side to side. Only the cleft portion of the tongue is protrusible and the ventral scutes are greatly reduced or wanting. The more slender necked of these snakes feed mainly upon eels and eel-like fishes. All are venomous, some being even more deadly than the cobra. They are ovoviviparous.

The first distinctive generic name assigned to this family is *Laticauda* which was conferred by Laurenti upon *L. scutata* in 1768. Two species *L. laticaudata* (Linné) 1758 and *L. celubrina* (Schneider) 1799 occur along the coasts of south east-Asia to Japan, Australia and the Pacific Islands. The genus contains about three other species that do not occur in these waters.

The genus Aepyurus originally termed Aipysurus Lacépède 1804 was created for A. laevis. A single species A. eydouxi (Gray) is Asian but tenuis Lonnberg et Anderson, duboisii Bavay and laevis occur off Australia and the Pacific Islands. There are two monotypic genera created by Gray in 1849 namely the genus Kerilia for K. jerdoni Gray, and Enhydrina for E. schistosa (Daudin). Other monotypic genera are Praescutata Wall 1921 for P. viperina (Schmidt), Acalyptophis Dumeril for A. peronii, Thalassophis for anomalus Schmidt 1852, Kolpophis for K. annandalei Smith 1926, Astrotia Fischer 1856 for A. stokesi (Gray) which is the heaviest of the sea snakes and Pelamis Daudin 1803 for P. platyura (Linné) which possesses the most extensive range of all the sea snakes, as it occurs from East Africa to the west coast of America.

The genera Lapemis (Gray) 1835, and Microcephalophis Lesson 1834 contain two species each. The genus Hydrophis Latrielle 1802 contains about 22 species, one of which H. cyanocincta Daudin 1803, is the longest of all the sea snakes, attaining to 1885 mm (Bourret). The other species of this genus are Caerulscens, major and spiralis Shaw 1802, torquata and stricticollis Gunther 1864, Klossi Boulenger 1912, elegans, ernata and inornata Gray 1842, parviceps Smith 1935, brooki Gunther 1872, fasciata Schneider 1799, nigrocincta and obscura Daudin 1803, bituberculata Peters 1872, lapemoides and melanocephalus Gray 1849 and kingi Boulenger.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

(Chronologically arranged)

SEBA, A. 1734. Locupletissimi Rerum Naturalium Thesauri.

LINNÉ, C. 1758. Systema Naturae. Ed. 10.

------ 1766. Systema Naturae. Ed. 12.

GRONOV, L. T. 1763. Zoophylaclum gronovianum exhibens Animalia quadrupeda, Amphibia, Pisies, etc. 1. Leyden.

LAURENTI, J. N. 1768. Speciman medicum, exhibeus Synopsis Reptilium Wein.

BROWN, P. 1776. New Illustrations of Zoology.

PENNANT, T. 1781. Indian Zoology.

FORSTER, J.H. 1781. Indische Zoologie.

SCHNEIDER, J. G. 1783. Allgemeine Naturgesch der Schildkroten, 303.

----- 1801. Historia amphibiorum Naturalis, 2 (Jena) Ovipares et des Serpents 2 vols.

----- 1825. Hist. Nat. de Quad Ovip. Serp. Poissons et Cetaces.

BATSCH, A.J.G.C. 1788. Versuch Anleitung zur Kenntmiss de Thiere and Mineral, 2.

GMELIN, J. F. 1789 Linnei Systema Naturae. Ed. 13.

SCHOEPFF, J. D. 1795. Historia Testudinum.

RUSSELL, P. 1796, 1801. Indian Serpents, 2 vols.

BUFFON, G.L.L.DE. 1801. Histoire Naturelle.

LATREILLE, P. A. ET C.N.S. SONNINI DE MANONCOURT, 1802. Histoire Naturelle de Reptiles, 1.

DAUDIN, F. M. 1802. Histoire Naturelle des Reptiles suite de Buffon, 2.

OPPEL, M. 1811. Die Ordnungen, Familien und Gattungen der Reptilien.

Rafinesque, C. S. 1814. Specchio Sci Palermo.

MERROM, B. 1820. Tentamen Systematis Amphibiorum.

CUVIER, G.L.C.F.D. ET A. VALENCIENNES 1829. Regue Animals. Ed. 2.2.

ESCHSEHOLTZ, J. F. VON. 1829. Zoologischer Atlas.

WAGLER, J. G. 1830. Nat. Syst. Amphib.

GRAY, J. E. 1835. Illustrations of Indian Zoology.

DUMERIL, A. M. C. ET G. BIBRON 1835. Erpetologie General on Histoire Naturelle Complete des Reptiles, 3 (Paris).

GRAY, J. E. 1844. Catalog of Tortoises and Crocodiles in the British Museum.

------ 1846. In. Stokes 'Discovery of Australia'.

------ 1849. Catalog of Snakes in British Museum.

FITZINGER. 1843. System Reptile.

- ------- 1862. Neue Class, Rept.
- SCHLEGEL, H. 1844. Abbild Amphib.
- ------ 1850. Fauna Japonica.

EMERSON TENNENT, J. 1844. A Natural History of Ceylon.

CANTOR, T. E. 1847. Catalog of Reptiles of Malay Peninsula.

KELAART, E. F. 1852. Prodromus Faunae Zeylanicae.

GIRARD, C. F. 1858. U.S. Exploration Exped. Herpetology.

JAN, G. ET SORDELLIE, F. 1860-1881. Iconographic General des Ophidiens 3 vols, Milan.

GUNTHER, A. 1864. Reptiles of British India (Ray Soc. pub.).

WOOD, J. G. 1864. The Illustrated Natural History. vol. 3.

JAN 1864. Iconographie General.

FAYRER, J. 1874. Thanatophidia.

THEOBALD, W. 1876. A Descriptive Catalog of the Reptiles of India.

HALY, A. 1877. List of Reptiles and Amphibia in the Colombo Museum.

GARMAN, S. 1880. Bulletin of Museum of Comparative Zoology, Harvard, 6.

BOULENGER, G. A. 1888. Fauna of British India, Burma and Ceylon.

------ 1893. Catalog of Snakes in the British Museum, vol. 1.

------ 1894. ,, ,, ,, ,, vol. **2**.

_____ 1896. ,, ,, ,, ,, vol. 3.

GADOW, H. 1899. Orthogenetic variation in shells of Chelonia. Zoological Results, pt. 3.

VAILLANT, L. ET G. GRANDIDER, 1900. In: Grandidier's Histoire Physique de Madagascar.

LAIDLAW, F. F. 1901. Reptiles of the Skeat Expedition, 1899-1900 P.Z.S. 3.

- ----- 1902. Amphibia and Reptilia in S. Gardener's Fauna and Geography of the Maldive and Laccadive Archipelagoes.
- STEINEGER, L. 1907. Herpetology of Japan and adjacent Territory. Bulletin U.S. National Museum. No. 58: 577.

ROOU, N.DB. 1915. Reptiles of the Indo-Australian Archipelago, vol. I.

,, ,, vol. 2.

SCHMIDT, J. 1916. Turtles of Danish Seychellas Mededl. fra Kommiss for Havunder Serie Fiskeri Band IV.

WALL, H. 1921. Snakes of Ceylon. Colombo Museum pub.

...

KINGHORN, J. R. 1929. Snakes of Australia.

SMITH, M.A. 1926. Monograph on the Sea Snakes (British Mus. pub.).

------ 1935. Sea Snakes, Dana Report No. 8,

SMITH, M. A. 1931-1943. Fauna of British India, Burma and Ceylon. 3 vols.

POPE, C. H. 1935. Reptiles of China, Natural History of Central Asia series ; vol. 10.

BOURRET, R. 1934. Les Serpents marins de l'Indachine francaise, Hanoi.

------- 1936. Les Serpents de L'Indochine, vol. 1.

HAMBRUCH, P. 1936. Ponape, vol. 3. Friedrichsen, de Gruyter & Co.

CARR, A. 1952. A Handbook of Turtles, New York.

WERMUTH, H. 1953. Systematik der Rezenten Krokodile. Mitt. Zool. Museum, Berlin 29,

- 1960. The identity of the name Crocodylus natans Meyer 1795 a second contribution to the nomenclature and typology of the estuarine crocodile C. porosus Schneider. Spolia Zeylanica, 29 (1): 23-27, fig. 2.
- MERTENS R. ET H. WERMUTH, 1958. Die rezenten Schildkroten, Krokodile und Bruckenechsen Senckenberg Museum pubn.
- DERANIYAGALA, P. E. P. 1937. Some Miocene and Siwalik Fossils from Ceylon, Spolia Zeylanica, 20 (2).
- → 1938. The Loggerhead Turtles in the National Museum of Ireland. The Irish Naturalists Journal, 7 (3): 65-70, fig. 3.

— 1939. The Tetrapod Reptiles of Ceylon, vol. I, Colombo Museum pubn. pp. 1-412, pls. I-XXIV. text figs. 1-137 (with addenda slip).

----- 1952. The Loggerhead Turtles of Europe. Herpetologica, 8: 57-58.

- ----- 1953. A Coloured Atlas of Some Vertebrates from Ceylon, vol.2, Tetrapod Reptilia pps. 1-101, Halftone pls. I-XI, Coloured pls. 1-35 (Colombo Museum pubn.).
- ----- 1957. Administration Report of National Museum Dept. for 1956 (CE. 13).
- ---- 1957 (a). The Breeding Grounds of the Luth and the Ridley, ibid., 13: 110-111.
- →→→→→ 1951. Some Little known characters of two subspectes of Lepidochelys olivacea. Spolia Zeylanica, 29 (2): 196-203, 3 text figs, 2 plates.
- ----- 1951 (a). Some of Linné's Reptiles and a Mammal from Ceylon and elsewhere that are in Sweden, Spolia Zeylanica. 29 (2): 195-196.
- ----- 1965. A Sanctuary for Turtles, Dugongs, Whales and Dolphins in the Indian and Southern Oceans, Loris, 10 (4), Wild Life Protection Soc. of Ceylon pubn.

— 1969. A Miocone vertebrate Faunule from the Malu member of Ceylon. Spolia Zeylanicae, 31 (2): 16, pls. 2, text figs. 3.

- WILLIAMS, E. E., A. G. C. GRANDISON ET A.F. CARR, 1967. Chelonia depressa Garman re-investigated. Breviora, 271: 1-15.
- BUSTARD, H. R. ET C. LEMPUS, 1969. Observations on the flat back turtle Chelonia depressa Garman, Herpetologica, 25 (1): 29-34.
- PAITCHARD, P. C. H. 1969. Sea Turtles of the Guianas. Bulletin of Florida State Museum Biological sciences, 13 (2), records Lepidochelys olivacea nesting on west Atlantic beaches.

806